

| Proposal Name: | | Bishopton STAG II – option 4 | | | | Worksheet W1: Water Quality, Drainage & Flooding - Strategic / Project Level | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Existing & Future Water Issues: | | High concentrations of ammonia and zinc in existing surface waters | | Assessment Date: | | 06/02/2006 | | | | |
| Location ¹ | Water Use ² | Resource Quality / Status ³ | Objectives ⁴ | Scale it Matters ⁵ | Potential Impacts | Timescales: When / Duration | Ease of Substitution ⁶ | Uncertainty ⁷ | Mitigation Potential | Impact Significance Assessment ⁸ |
| Surface Waters⁹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Southbar Landfill surface drainage | Drainage channels around landfill. Not currently abstracted. | Unclassified | To protect the water environment. | Small - not of regional or national significance and has no important attributes. | Release of contaminants during construction. Release of leachate due to disturbance of landfill if mitigation measures fail. Less effective drainage due to blockage of channels during construction. | Construction would take place for approximately 18 months. | No substitution possible | Medium | Measures can be taken to intercept and/or treat leachate. Standard mitigation measures would be taken during construction. | Slight adverse impact |
| Lin Burn | Not currently abstracted for use. | Unclassified | To protect the water environment. | Small – not of regional or national significance and has no important attributes. | Release of contaminants during construction. Release of leachate due to disturbance of landfill if mitigation measures fail. | Construction would take place for approximately 18 months. | No substitution possible | Medium | Measures can be taken to intercept and/or treat leachate prior to it entering Lin Burn. Standard mitigation measures would be taken during construction. | Slight adverse impact |
| Groundwater¹⁰ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groundwater in Devensian Glaciomarine Deposits | Not currently abstracted for use. | Unclassified | To protect the water environment. | Small – not of regional or national significance and has no important attributes. Shallow groundwater could act as base flow for surface waters. | Mobilisation of contaminants during construction. | Construction would take place for approximately 18 months. | No substitution possible | Medium | Standard mitigation measures would be taken during construction. | Slight adverse impact |
| Groundwater in Limestone formations | Not currently abstracted for use. | Unclassified | To protect the water environment. | Small – not of regional or national significance and has no important attributes. | Mobilisation of contaminants during construction. | Construction would take place for approximately 18 months. | No substitution possible | High (Depth to deep groundwater unknown and quality of deep groundwater unknown.) | Not able to assess based on existing information. | Negligible |
| Land Drainage / Flood Defence | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Key Assumptions: | | Disturbance of the landfill will result in release of leachate that contains contaminants. Construction works will result in mobility of suspended matter / silt. Disturbance of made ground at Site D could result in release of contaminants. | | | | | | | | |
| Key Data Sources: | | Scottish Environmental Protection Agency, Scottish Natural Heritage, British Geological Survey, WH Malcolm | | | | | | | | |

| Proposal Name: | | Bishopton STAG II – option 4a | | | | Worksheet W1: Water Quality, Drainage & Flooding - Strategic / Project Level | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Existing & Future Water Issues: | | High concentrations of ammonia and zinc in existing surface waters at Site D | | Assessment Date: | | 06/02/2006 | | | | |
| Location ¹ | Water Use ² | Resource Quality / Status ³ | Objectives ⁴ | Scale it Matters ⁵ | Potential Impacts | Timescales: When / Duration | Ease of Substitution ⁶ | Uncertainty ⁷ | Mitigation Potential | Impact Significance Assessment ⁸ |
| Surface Waters⁹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| No surface water present in the immediate vicinity of Site A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Low | N/A | N/A |
| Lin Burn | Not currently used for abstraction, recreation or fisheries. | Unclassified | To protect the water environment. | Small – not of regional or national significance and has no important attributes. | Release of contaminants during construction. | Construction would take place for approximately 18 months. | No substitution possible | Medium | Measures can be taken to intercept and/or treat leachate prior to it entering Lin Burn. Standard mitigation measures would be taken during construction. | Slight adverse impact |
| Groundwater¹⁰ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groundwater in Devensian Glaciomarine Deposits | Not currently abstracted for use. | Unclassified | To protect the water environment. | Small – not of regional or national significance and has no important attributes. Shallow groundwater could act as base flow for surface waters. | Mobilisation of contaminants during construction. | Construction would take place for approximately 18 months. | No substitution possible | Medium | Measures can be taken to treat leachate. Standard mitigation measures would be taken during construction. | Slight adverse impact |
| Groundwater in Lawmuir Formation | Not currently abstracted for use. | Unclassified | To protect the water environment. | Small – not of regional or national significance and has no important attributes. | Mobilisation of contaminants during construction. | Construction would take place for approximately 18 months. | No substitution possible | High (Depth to deep groundwater unknown and quality of deep groundwater unknown.) | Not able to assess based on existing information. | Negligible |
| Groundwaters in Limestone formations | Not currently abstracted for use. | Unclassified | To protect the water environment. | Small – not of regional or national significance and has no important attributes. | Mobilisation of contaminants during construction. | Construction would take place for approximately 18 months. | No substitution possible | High (Depth to deep groundwater unknown and quality of deep groundwater unknown.) | Not able to assess based on existing information. | Negligible |
| Land Drainage / Flood Defence | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Key Assumptions: | | Disturbance of the landfill will result in release of leachate that contains contaminants. Construction works will result in mobility of suspended matter / silt. Disturbance of made ground at Site D could result in release of contaminants. Groundwater is present at shallow depths on Site A. | | | | | | | | |
| Key Data Sources: | | Scottish Environmental Protection Agency, Scottish Natural Heritage, British Geological Survey, WH Malcolm | | | | | | | | |

| Proposal Name: | | Bishopton STAG II – option 5 | | | | Worksheet W1: Water Quality, Drainage & Flooding - Strategic / Project Level | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Existing & Future Water Issues: | | No known issues. | | | Assessment Date: | | 06/02/2006 | | | |
| Location ¹ | Water Use ² | Resource Quality / Status ³ | Objectives ⁴ | Scale it Matters ⁵ | Potential Impacts | Timescales: When / Duration | Ease of Substitution ⁶ | Uncertainty ⁷ | Mitigation Potential | Impact Significance Assessment ⁸ |
| Surface Waters⁹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| No surface water present in the immediate vicinity of Site A or Site B | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Low | N/A | N/A |
| Groundwater¹⁰ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groundwater in Devensian Glaciomarine Deposits | Not currently abstracted for use. | Unclassified | To protect the water environment. | Small – not of regional or national significance and has no important attributes. Shallow groundwater could act as base flow for surface waters. | Mobilisation of contaminants during construction. | Construction would take place for approximately 18 months. | No substitution possible | Medium | Standard mitigation measures would be taken during construction. | Negligible |
| Groundwater in Lawmuir Formation | Not currently used for abstraction, recreation or fisheries. | Unclassified | To protect the water environment. | Small – not of regional or national significance and has no important attributes. | Mobilisation of contaminants during construction. | Construction would take place for approximately 18 months. | No substitution possible | High (Depth to deep groundwater unknown and quality of deep groundwater unknown.) | Not able to assess based on existing information. | Negligible |
| Land Drainage / Flood Defence | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Key Assumptions: | | Construction works will result in mobility of suspended matter / silt. Groundwater is present at shallow depths. | | | | | | | | |
| Key Data Sources: | | Scottish Environmental Protection Agency, Scottish Natural Heritage, British Geological Survey | | | | | | | | |